Eclipse Foundation Bylaws 2011

History and Motivation

- The Eclipse Foundation has been in existence for seven years

- Most of the ideas that went into the original Bylaws have worked well and have stood the test of time. A few have not.

- Let's tidy up the Bylaws to ensure that they reflect current practices

Timeline

- ## March
  - Present to Board and to Membership-at-Large
  - Provide access to proposed revisions to allow for Members to do legal review

- ## June
  - Vote of the Board

- ## July
  - Vote of the Membership-at-Large

Highlights

- Replace the annual Roadmap with an annual community report
- Eliminate the Membership Committee. Make the IP Advisory Committee a Standing Committee
- Delete the Requirements Council
- Put the Architecture Council in charge of future revisions of the Eclipse Development Process
- Delete the requirement for there to be a ratio of Strategic Consumers to Strategic Developers
- Remove the requirement that the AGM be in Q1
- Allow for flexibility in covering expenses
- Sustaining Member board reps need to be a Sustaining Member

One Last Change

- Committer reps asked us to revisit the issue of committer votes from the same member company collapsing to a single vote.

- Proposed solution (Section 3.3(d))

  – One committer, one vote

  – Total number of candidates from the same organization may not exceed one-half (1/2) of the total number of seats available for that year's annual at-large election

One Last Change (cont'd)

- The collapsing of committer votes will still occur for any votes of the membership-at-large
  - Otherwise the committer vote would overwhelm the other classes of Members.