


Eclipse Strategic Member Custom Delivery Installer Program Eclipse Solution Member Survey Results




How strongly do you support the steps the Foundation is taking to expand the way that Eclipse software can be configured and installed using the "Strategic Member Custom Delivery Installer Program"?




- Strongly Don't Support
- Don't Support
- Neutral
- Support
- Strongly Support
- Don't Know

How strongly do you support limiting participation in this eclipse program to only Strategic Members?



Eclipse Foundation, Inc.

Would your company consider using the "Custom Deliveryclipse Installer" if it were available to you?



- Definitely Would Not Use
- Would Not Use
- Might Use
- Would Use
- Definitely Would Use
- Don't know

Additional Comments



- It feels natural to limit companies that provide plugins. However use should not be limited, then the strategic members will not sell so much. I am not clear if you aim to restrict both. Users need to be able to include any features (such as private libraries) for this to be attractive.
- I can see that this would be attractive for strategic members, since it would help promote their eclipse based products.
- This would really help us.
- This Custom Installer won't work. People simply don't have the time to figure out which plugins they need. Stick to a few predefined packaging options, making sure one of them includes just the basics, and another includes everything. Then let the members offer their enhanced variants of the options relevant to them. In other words, the visitor must be able to make a decision in seconds: "This is for Java development, okay, hmm, this company offers some nice enhancements, I think I'll give it a shot," that's it. Please save visitors time.
- Perhaps, it could be possible to display Strategic AND Solution content but using different ways : Strategic content will be highlighted or something like that.



Eclipse Solution Member Survey Results

