ECLIPSE.ORG FOUNDATION, INC.

MINUTES OF A MEETING
OF
THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

(OCTOBER 28, 2013)

A Meeting of the Board of Directors (the *"Board"*) of Eclipse.org Foundation, Inc., a Delaware corporation (the *"Corporation"*), was held on held as a regularly scheduled face to face meeting in Ludwigsburg, Germany.

Present at the meeting were the following Directors:

Present?	Director	Organization
Y	Chris Aniszczyk	Elected Committer Representative
Y	John Arthorne	Elected Committer Representative
Y	Jutta Bindewald	SAP AG
Y	Paul Clenahan	Actuate Corporation
Y	Christian Dupuis	Elected Sustaining Representative
Y	Pat Huff	IBM
Y	Etienne Juliot	OBEO
Y	Hans Kamutzki	Elected Sustaining Representative
Y	Mik Kersten	Elected Sustaining Representative
Y	Jochen Krause	Innoopract GmbH
Y	Dennis Leung	Oracle
Y	Paul Lipton	CA Technologies
Y	Achim Loerke	BREDEX GmbH
Y	Ed Merks	Elected Committer Representative
Y	Wolfgang Neuhaus	itemis AG
Y	Shawn Pearce	Google Inc.

Present at the invitation of the Board was Mike Milinkovich, Executive Director, Chris Larocque, Treasurer, hand Janet Campbell, Secretary, of Eclipse.org Foundation, Inc.

General Business:

Mike Milinkovich introduced a discussion of the full and abridged minutes of the September and October Board meetings, copies of which are attached as Exhibit A. The Board unanimously passed the following resolution:

RESOLVED, the full and abridged minutes of the September and October Board meetings are approved.

Mike Milinkovich introduced a discussion on the dual licensing of the Mosquitto Project, reminding the Board that the vote required unanimous approval in order to pass. Shawn Pearce asked what umbrella Project the Mosquitto Project was going into. Mike advised that at the moment Technology was the intended location, but there was a plan afoot to create a top level Project for M2M. The Board unanimously passed the following resolution:

RESOLVED, the Board approves the dual licensing of the Mosquitto Project at Eclipse under the terms of the Eclipse Public License, Version 1.0 and Eclipse Distribution License Version 1.0.

Simplifying the Membership Process:

Mike Milinkovich introduced the topic of simplifying the Membership process to remove the requirement that a separate Logo Agreement be signed, which had been discussed at the previous Board meeting. The related slides are attached as Exhibit C. There being no concerns raised, the Board unanimously passed the following resolution:

RESOLVED, the Board approves the simplification of the Membership process to remove the requirement that a separate Logo Agreement be signed, as more fully described in Exhibit C.

Policy on Joining Specifications Organizations

Mike Milinkovich introduced a discussion on the Policy regarding joining specifications organizations and the proposed changes that are highlighted in Exhibit D hereto. A general discussion of the Policy ensured.

Mike highlighted that the proposal before the Board currently was merely to add the ability for Working Groups to initiate a request to join the Specifications Community. Following discussion, the Board unanimously passed the following resolution:

RESOLVED, the Board approves the changes to the Policy on Joining Specifications Organizations as proposed in Exhibit E.

.

Management Reports

Mike Milinkovich provided an update on Membership, the related slides for which are attached hereto as Exhibit G.

There was a discussion regarding the the purpose behind the creation of Eclipse Foundation Europe. Mike Milinkovich responded that it would was primarily operational. For example, it would make it easier with respect to credit card activities in Europe for our conferences. Mike added that it would also allow the Eclipse Foundation to have employees rather than contractors in Europe and that it may allow the Eclipse Foundation to access research funding in Europe as well.

Mike Milinkovich provided an overview of the Eclipse Foundation's Key Performance Indicators. The Board discussed the declining newsgroup posting trend and the related impact of Stack Overflow. Following the discussion, Mike Milinkovich took the action to look at the possibility of moving Eclipse newsgroups to Stack Overflow.

Chris Larocque provided an Operations update.

The Board discussed the merit of pursuing trademark registration for the Eclipse Foundation in Europe, Eclipse in North America, and PolarSys and LocationTech. Following discussion it was determined that the Eclipse Foundation would investigate this issue further.

Mike Milinkovich provided an update on the PolarSys, Automotive, OpenMDM, and Long Term Support (LTS) Working Groups, the related slides for which are attached as Exhibit J. In so doing, Mike highlighted that the LTS Working Group was struggling from the standpoint of a sustainable funding model, but that it was also key requirement for PolarSys.

Eclipse IDE

John Arthorne led a discussion surrounding the Eclipse IDE. During the discussion, a number of topics were raised:

1. There has been a declining level of participation in the IDE which was causing some concern in the community.
2. An IDE mailing list has been created to foster a broad community discussion. The discussion has been quite interesting, but there have been no concrete results.
3. There are some differences of opinion as to whether outside contributions to JDT have been making their way into the code base at a satisfactory pace. Part of the issue is that the existing team has been entirely focused on implementing new Java 8 features.
4. There was some discussion as to whether additional funding for JDT developers could be raised by the Eclipse Foundation itself. Examples included making Eclipse binaries available in the Mac or Windows Stores. Further discussion on whether it made sense to pursue these options, and whether it was appropriate for the Eclipse Foundation to staff developers was deferred.

Simplifying Dual Licensing

Mike Milinkovich introduced a discussion surrounding the simplification of dual licensing, where top-level projects would be given approval for certain licensing combinations in their charter. Any such a top-level project charter would have to be unanimously approved by the Board. The slides related to this conversation are attached as Exhibit K. Paul Lipton indicated that if the Board were to pursue such a change, it would be useful if the Board were periodically provided with a list of dual licensed Projects and their associated licenses.

There was general support for the proposal. The topic was tabled for final approval at a subsequent Board meeting.

Long Term Support (LTS) Infrastructure Utilization

Jutta Bindewald introduced a discussion surrounding the use of LTS infrastructure for non-LTS related activity, the related slides for which are attached as Exhibit L. Jutta indicated that over the last several months LTS members had been presenting to a number of potential members regarding the benefits of LTS. Jutta highlighted that a Member company seemed very interested, but during the course of the discussions it became apparent that what they really were interested in was the private LTS forge. In addition, they appeared interested in a pay as you go model rather than the current Membership model. So the question arose as to whether the Board wished to allow companies to do new things on the LTS private forges without any associated intellectual property review.

Mike Milinkovich indicated that all prior discussion surrounding LTS required that the private forges comply with the IP Policy so this would be a significant departure. Mike added that this appeared to be a new business opportunity. Mike asked the Board whether it made sense for the Foundation to compete with other companies that already provide this service. After some discussion, Jutta indicated that she would go back to the Member company and let them know that it was not possible.

2014 Eclipse Foundation Program Plan

Mike Milinkovich provided an overview of the 2014 Eclipse Foundation Program Plan. Mike indicated that the Plan had been reviewed and approved by the Finance committee

Synchronizing Issues on Social Coding Forges

Mik Kersten led a discussion to revisit the discussion on synchronizing issues (e.g. bugs, feature requests, etc.) on social coding forges, the related slides for which are attached as Exhibit N. Mik highlighted that the Eclipse Foundation was starting to allow Projects to use GitHub for their day to day development and that while the existing project Vert.x was happy to be able to continue to use GitHub after their move to Eclipse, their community was not happy that they had

to do issue tracking on Bugzilla. Mik indicated that this was likely to be an issue with other projects that elect to develop on GitHub at Eclipse as well. Mik indicated that his company, Tasktop, was willing to provide a synchronization service to Eclipse at no charge. Wolfgang Neuhaus indicated that there was a risk that if Tasktop were to disappear, that the Foundation would then be expected to replace the free service, which would in all likelihood have costs associated with it. Mike Milinkovich responded that he would be very careful to describe this as a service to the community, a convenience, and that if it was to disappear, the expectation should be that projects would have to use Bugzilla.

Mike Milinkovich highlighted that there were both technical and legal issues that would need to be resolved if the Foundation were to pursue this course of action, but that the issue before the Board today was primarily one related to vendor neutrality.

Mik Kersten indicated that it would be important to make sure that as much as possible the core data remained on Eclipse servers. Pat Huff asked Mik whether there was an open source solution available. Mik responded that there was not, but that if you used the Eclipse Mylan Project you'd have a head start.

The consensus of the Board was that the Eclipse Foundation should investigate further, but that the proposed service from Tasktop would be acceptable if we can resolve all outstanding technical and legal issues.

Implementing a "Call Home" Policy for Eclipse Projects

Wayne Beaton introduced a discussion surrounding implementing a "call home" Policy for Eclipse Projects, a draft of which is attached as Exhibit O. Wayne indicated that there remained work to do on the Policy, both to reduce the scope of what it attempted to address and to ensure that the necessary legal review of the document took place. Mike Milinkovich highlighted that he wanted to ensure that the Board was aware and comfortable with the approach that the Eclipse Foundation was taking on this subject.

Executive Director Performance Review

The Board then began an in executive session related to the Executive Director's performance review, which was to be discussed privately with the Executive Director thereafter.

Thereafter, the meeting was adjourned.

*   *   *   *   *

This being a true and accurate record of the proceedings of this Meeting of the Board of Directors held on October 28, 2013, is attested to and signed by me below.

<div style="text-align: right;">

/s/ Janet Campbell
Secretary of Meeting

</div>